



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/990,234	11/21/2001	Torbjorn Boson Lundqvist	21532-301	2349

25204 7590 03/06/2003

OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY LLP
840 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE
SUITE 700
NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660

EXAMINER

ALLEN, ANDRE J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2855

DATE MAILED: 03/06/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

09/910,234

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ANDRE ALLEN (EX) (3) SCOTT HANSEN (ATT.)
(2) ANDY CHEN (ATT) (4) _____

Date of Interview 1-14-03

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: CLAIMS 1, 17 AND 24 PROPOSED CLAIMS 27 AND 28

Identification of prior art discussed: CHI, BALING,

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: THE ATTORNEY PROPOSED AN AMENDMENT THAT WOULD READ OVER THE CITED PRIOR ART WITH RESPECTS TO CANCELLING CLAIM 1 AND INCORPORATING THE FEATURES IN PROPOSED CLAIMS 27 AND 28 INTO CLAIM 24. EXAMINER AGREED ON THE AMENDMENT AND TOLD THE ATTORNEY THE AMENDED CLAIMS WOULD BE FURTHER SEARCHED.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

[Signature]

HEZRON WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000

[Signature]